SOP NO. HW-36/Pesticide Data Validation USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organic Analysis of Low/Medium Concentration of Pesticide Organic Compounds SOM01.1



	A. I	10/ /
Prepared by:	Alonge Karras	Date: 12/05/06
	George Karras, Chemist	-
	Hazardous Waste Support Section	
Peer Reviewed	by: I well amone, Chemist	Date: 12/05/06
	Hazardous Waste Support Section	
Concurred by:	Linda Mauel, Chief	Date: 12/5/06
	Hazardous Waste Support Section	1/
Approved by:	Sollis Surgo	Date: 12/11/06
	Robert Runyon, Chief	
	Hazardous Waste Support Branch	
	Annual Review	
Reviewed by:		Date:
	Name	
Reviewed by:		Date:
	Name	

SOM01.1/Low/Medium Pesticides SOP HW-36 EPA/Region II

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	
Scope and Applicability	<u>1</u>
Summary	
Data Qualifiers	1
Lab Qualifiers	
Reviewer Qualifications	
	_
PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES	3
1. Chain of Custody and Sampling Trip Reports	
2. <u>Data Completeness and Deliverables</u>	
3. Cover Letter SDG Narrative	
4. Data Validation Checklist	
1. Data varidation checkrise	· · <u>-</u>
PART A: VOA ANALYSES	5
	· · <u>></u>
1. Sample Conditions/Problems	
2. Holding Times	
3. Deuterated Monitoring Compound (DMC) Recovery (Form	
4. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Recovery (Form]	
5. Method Blanks (Form IV)	9
6. <u>Contamination</u>	· <u>10</u>
7. GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check (Form V)	
8. <u>Analytical Sequence Check (Form VIII)</u>	
9. <u>Florisil Cartridge and GPC Checks</u> (Form IX)	. 17
10. Pesticide Identification (Form X)	
11. <u>Target Pesticide List (TCL)</u>	
12. Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits	<u>20</u>
13. <u>Field Duplicates</u>	. 21
Definitions	. 22
References	23

INTRODUCTION

Scope and Applicability

This SOP offers detailed guidance in evaluating laboratory data generated according to the method in the "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005". The validation procedures and actions discussed in this document are based on the requirements set forth in the "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, January 2005". This document attempts to cover technical problems specific to low/Medium concentration of Pesticide compounds. Situations may arise where data limitations must be assessed based on the reviewer's own professional judgement.

In addition to technical requirements, contractual requirements may also be covered in this document. While it is important that instances of contract non-compliance be addressed in the Data Assessment, the technical criteria are always used to qualify the analytical data.

Summary

To ensure a thorough evaluation of each result in a data case, the reviewer must complete the checklist within this SOP, answering specific questions while performing the prescribed "ACTIONS" in each section. Qualifiers (or flags) are applied to questionable or unusable results as instructed. The data qualifiers discussed in this document are as follows:

Data Qualifiers

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- J The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- N The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification."
- JN The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.

- UJ The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.
- R The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

Lab Qualifiers:

- D The positive value is the result of an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
- B The analyte is present in the associated method blank as well as in the sample. This qualifier has a different meaning when validating inorganic data.
- E The concentration of this analyte exceeds the calibration range of the instrument.
- P Pesticide/Aroclor target analytes when the % Difference between the analyte concentrations obtained from the two dissimilar GC columns is greater than 25%.

The reviewer must prepare a detailed data assessment to be submitted along with the completed SOP checklist. The Data Assessment must list all data qualifications, reasons for qualifications, instances of missing data and contract noncompliance.

Reviewer Qualifications:

Data reviewers must possess a working knowledge of the USEPA Statement of Work SOM01.1 and National Functional Guidelines mentioned above.

<pre>USEPA Region II Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide S)))))))))))))))))))))))))))</pre>			SOP HW-36/Pesticide	, Revi	L 2006 ision 0
		PACKAGE COMPLETENE	ESS AND DELIVERABLES		
CA	SE NUMB	ER::	LAB:		
si:	TE NAME	:	SDG No(s).:		
1.0	Chain d	of Custody and Sampling Tri	<u>ip Reports</u>		
	1.1	Are the Traffic Reports/Ch present for all samples?	nain-of-Custody Records	<u>[]</u> _	
	ACTIO	I: If no, contact RSCC, or replacement of missing from the lab.			
	1.2	Is the Sampling Trip Reporsamples?	ct present for all	Ш_	
	ACTIO	I: If no, contact either RS obtain the necessary inf contractor.			
2.0	Data Co	ompleteness and Deliverable	<u>es</u>		
	2.1	Have any missing deliverable and added to the data pack			<u> </u>
	ACTIO		ing deliverables from the nem, note the effect on th age in the Contract		
	2.2	Was SMO/CLASS CCS checklis package?	st included with the		

	egion II CLP/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide SOP HW-36/Pestici	e: Apri de, Re		
S))))))))))))))) YES	NO	N/A
2.	Are there any discrepancies between the Traffic Reports/Chain-of-Custody Records, and Sampling Trip Report?			
AC	TION: If yes, contact the TOPO to obtain an explanati resubmittal of any missing deliverables from th laboratory.			
3.0 <u>Cov</u>	er Letter SDG Narrative			
3.	1 Is the SDG Narrative or Cover Letter Present?	[]		
3.	Are case number, SDG number and contract number contained in the SDG Narrative or cover letter (see SOW, Exhibit B, section 2.5.1)? EPA sample numbers in the SDG, detailed documentation of any quality control, sample, shipment, and/or analytical problems encountered in processing the samples? Corrective action taken?	[]		
3.	Does the Narrative contain the following information SOM01.1, page B-12, section 2.5.1)? column used, storage of samples, case#, SDG#, analytical problems, and discrepancies between field and lab weights.			
3.	5 Did the contractor record the temperature of the cooler on the Form DC-1, Item 9 - Cooler Temperature, and in the SDG Narrative?	<u>[]</u>		
3.	6 Does the Case Narrative contain the "verbatim" statement (page B-12, section 2.5.1 of the SOM)?			
ACTION:	If "No", to any question in this section, contact the TOPO to obtain necessary resubmittals. If unavailable, document under the Contract Problems/ Non-Compliance section of the Data Assessment.			

Meth		P/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide SOP HW-36/Pesticid	=		
S))))))))))))))))))) YES	NO	N/A
4.0	<u>Data V</u>	alidation Checklist			
	4.1	Check the package for the following (see SOM report requirements, section 2.1, page B-10):	rting		
		a. Is the package paginated in ascending order starting from the SDG narrative?			
		b. Are all forms and copies legible?	<u>[]</u>		
		c. Assembled in the order set forth in the SOW?	[]		
		d. All Pesticide Data present?	[]		
		PART A: Low/Medium Pesticide Analyses			
1.0	Sample	Conditions/Problems			
	1.1	Do the Traffic Reports/Chain-of-Custody Records, Sampling Trip Report or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special circumstances affecting the quality of the data?			
	ACTIO:	N: If samples were not iced or the ice was melted arrival at the laboratory and the temperature of cooler was > 10° C, then flag all positive resulwith a "J" and all non-detects "UJ".	f the		
2.0	<u> Holdin</u>	g Times			
	2.1	Have any Pesticide technical holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded?		<u>[]</u>	
	2.2	Preservation: <u>Aqueous</u> and <u>Non-aqueous</u> samples must	t		

ACTION: Qualify sample results according to the following table.

Holding Time Actions for Low/Medium Pesticide Analyses

			Acti	lon
Matrix	Preserved	reserved Criteria		Non-Detected Associated Compounds
	No	<pre>< 7 days (extraction) < 40 days (analysis)</pre>	Ј*	UJ*
Aqueous	No	> 7 days (extraction) > 40 days (analysis)	J	IJJ
	Yes	<pre></pre>	No quali	fication
	Yes	> 7 days (extraction) > 40 days (analysis)	J	IJ
	Yes/No	> 28 Days (Gross Exceedance)	J	R
	No	<pre> ≤ 14 days (extraction) ≤ 40 days (analysis)</pre>	J*	UJ*
Non-aqueous	No	> 14 days (extraction) > 40 days (analysis)	J	UJ
	Yes	<pre></pre>	No qualif	ication
	Yes	> 14 days (extraction) > 40 days (analysis)	J	UJ
	Yes/No	> 28 Days (Gross Exceedance)	J	R

^{*} Only if cooler temperature exceeds 10°C (see ACTION in Section 1.1 above). No action required if temperature \leq 10°C.

3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II Pest-1, Form II Pest-2, Form VIII)

			_	_	_		r 1
3.1	Are the	Pesticide	Recovery	Summary	7 Forms	present?	[]

ACTION: Contact the TOPO to obtain an explanation/resubmittal from the lab. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document the effect in the Data Assessment.

USEPA Regio Method: CLP	n II /SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide SOP HW-36/Pestici	e: Apri de, Rev		
S)))))))))))))))))))) YES	NO	N/A
(T	re the two surrogates, tetrachloro-m-xylene CX) and decachlorobiphenyl (DCB) added to all samples, /MSD, LCS, blanks including standards?	<u>[]</u>		
ACTION:	If no, use professional judgment in qualifying data as missing surrogate analyte may not directly apply to target analytes.			
3.3	Were outliers marked with an asterisk on Form II?	[]		
ACTION:	Circle all outliers with a red pencil.			
	If yes, were effected samples re-analyzed?	[]		
	The RTs of the surrogates in each Performance Evaluation Mixture (PEM), mid-point Individual Standard Mixture (A and B) or (C) used for continuing calibration verification, all samples, including MS/MSD, LCS and all blanks must be within the calculated RT window. TCX must be within \pm 0.05 minutes and DCB must be within \pm 0.10 minutes of the mean retention time (RT) determined from the initial calibration and tabulated in Form VIII Pest.			
	Were any outliers marked with an asterisk on Form VIII Pest?		[]	
ACTION:	Circle all outliers with a red <u>pencil</u> . If any Surrogate outside the required limits, qualify their associated tax			

Surrogate Compound Recovery Action for Pesticides

compounds (See Table below) as follows:

	Action		
Criteria	Detected Target Compounds	Non-Detected Target Compounds	
%R > 200%	J	No qualification	
150% < %R <u><</u> 200%	J	No qualification	
30% <u><</u> %R <u><</u> 150%	No qualificati	Lon	
10% <u><</u> %R < 30%	J	UJ	
%R < 10% (sample dilution not a factor)	J	R	
%R < 10% (sample dilution is a factor)	Use professional	judgment	
RT out of RT window	Use professional	judgment	
RT within RT window	No qualific	cation	

Metho		SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide SOP HW-36/Pesticide, Revision 0
S)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))
Note:	Blank and	alysis having surrogates out of specification:
	Basic con blank alo For examp	ewer must give special consideration to the validity of associated samples. Incern is whether the blank problems represent an isolated problem with the one or whether there is a fundamental problem with the analytical process. The plane or more samples in the batch show acceptable surrogate les, the reviewer may choose to consider the blank problem to be an isolated one.
	ACTION:	Note in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/ Non-Compliance if the Lab did not perform reanalysis and reviewer's judgment regarding blank problem.
	3.5	Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form IIs?
	ACTION:	If large errors exist, ask the TOPO to obtain an explanation/resubmittal from the lab, make any necessary corrections and note errors in the data assessment.
4.0 <u>M</u>		ke/Matrix Spike Duplicate Recovery (Form III) ta for MS/MSD will not be present unless requested.
	4.1	Are the MS/MSD Recovery Forms (Form III BNA) present? []
		Was the MS/MSD analyzed at the required frequency (once er SDG, or every 20 samples, whichever is more frequent)?
	ACTION:	If any MS/MSD data are missing, take action as specified in section 3.1 above.
	ACTION:	No action is taken on MS/MSD data <u>alone</u> . However, using professional judgement, the validator may use the MS and MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data. If Any MS/MSD % recovery or RPD is out of specification, qualify data to include the consideration of the existence of interference in the raw data. Consideration include, but not limited to the following "Action":

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Action for Pesticides

	Action		
Criteria	Detected Spike Compounds	Non-detected Spike Compounds	
%R or RPD > Upper Acceptance Limit	J	No qualification	
20% < %R < Lower Acceptance Limit	J	ŪJ	

Metho		P/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesti		=
%R <	20%		Use professional judgment	:
	_	ptance Limit < %R; Acceptance Limit	No qualification required	l
Note:	spiked, when it systema	, limit qualification to t is determined through	he results of the MS/MSD affects onl only this sample. However, use pro the MS/MSD results that the laborato ysis of one or more analytes that af	ofessional judgment ory is having
5.0 <u>B</u>	lanks (I	Form IV)		
	5.1	Is the Pesticide Method present for aqueous and	l Blank Summary (Form IV PEST) l soil samples?	<u> </u>
	5.2	compounds, has a method	For the analysis of PEST TCL blank been analyzed for each SDG ichever is more frequent?	<u> </u>
	ACTION	above in section 3.1. reject "R" all associa professional judgement	missing, take action as specified If blank data is not available, ted positive data. However, using , the data reviewer may substitute issing method blank data.	
	5.3	extraction batch require some samples will be listonce under the method be	ald be present if part of an red sulfur removal. In such cases sted on two blank summary forms - plank, and once under the sulfur Was this additional blank raw the ted when required?	<u> </u>
	ACTION	: If Form IV sulfur clea as specified in sectio	n-up blank is missing, take action n 3.1 above.	
	5.4	beginning of every 12 h	ment blank been analyzed at the ar. period following the initial ninimum contract requirement)?	<u>[]</u>
	ACTION	If any blank data are Section 3.1.	e missing, take action specified in	
	5.5		ication scheme used for all page B-39, section 3.3.7.3 of formation)	<u> </u>
	ACTION		obtain resubmittals or rections on the forms.	

	A Regio		e: Apri		
Meth	od: CLI	P/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide SOP HW-36/Pesticio	de, Rev	/isic	n 0
S))))))))))))))))))) YES	NO	N/A
			150	INO	IN / A
		Document in the Data Assessment under Contract			
		Problems/Non-Compliance all corrections made			
		by the validator.			
	5.6	<u>Chromatography</u> : Review the blank raw data chromatogram,			
		quant. Reports and data system printout. Is the			
		chromatographic performance (baseline stability)			
		acceptable for each instrument?	[]		
	ACTION	: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the	data.		
	5.7	Are all detected hits for target compounds in method, and			
		field blanks less than the CRQL?	<u>[]</u>		
	7 CET 011		. 1		
	ACTION	: IF no, an explanation and laboratory's corrective actions addressed in the case SDG narrative. Contact TOPO to req			_
		revised narrative and make a note in the Contract Problem			
		section of the Data Assessment.		ompii	arrec
6.0 <u>C</u>	ontamina	ation			
	NOTE:	"Water blanks", "drill blanks", and distilled water blanks"	are		
	11012	validated like any other sample, and are <u>not</u> used to qualify			
		Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below			
	c 1	De sous mathed/seconds on all comes hallows and six models			
	6.1	Do any method/reagent or cleanup blanks contain positive hits for target pesticide compounds with values greater			
		than the CRQL for that analyte?		r 1	
				<u></u>	
		The concentration of each target compound in the instrument			
	k	plank must be less than the CRQL for that analyte.			
	ACTION	: Make note in data assessment under Contract Problems/Non-			
		Compliance if any blank contains hit above the CRQLs.			
	6.2	Do any instrument blanks contain positive Pesticide			
		results with values greater than CRQLs?		[_]	
	ACTION	: Take the action specified in section 6.1.			
	6.3	Do any field/rinse blanks have positive Pesticide results?		[]	
	NOTE:	All field blank results associated with a particular group	of samp]	es	
		(may exceed one per case) must be used to qualify data. Bloom	anks may	7	
		not be qualified because of contamination in another blank.			
		blanks must be qualified for system monitoring compound, in	strument		
		performance criteria, spectral or calibration QC problems.			
	ACTION	Follow the directions in the table below to qualify resul	ts due		

to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated

USEPA Region II	Date: April 2006
Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide	SOP HW-36/Pesticide, Revision 0
S)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	YES NO N/A

blanks. If any blanks are grossly contaminated, all associated sample data should be qualified unusable (R).

Blank Action for Pesticide Analyses

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action for Samples
	Detects	Not detected	No qualification required
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
		> CRQL	No qualification required
	= CRQL	< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
Method, Field,		> CRQL	No qualification required
Sulfur Cleanup,		< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
Instrument	> CRQL	<pre></pre>	Report concentration of sample with a U
		≥ CRQL and ≥ blank contamination	No qualification required
	Gross contamination	Detects	Qualify results as unusable R

NOTE: Analytes qualified "U" for blank contamination are treated as "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

Note: When applied as described in the table above, the contaminant concentration in the blank are multiplied by the sample dilution factor.

6.4	Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with	
	every sample?	<u>[]</u>

ACTION: Note in data assessment if there's no associated field/rinse/equipment blank.

Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 Gas Chromatography with Electron Capture Detector (GC/ECD) Instrument Performance Check (Form VI-5 thru 10, Form VII-1)

7.1 Are the following Forms, chromatograms and data system printouts present?

sepa Regio ethod: CLI	Dat D/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide SOP HW-36/Pestici	e: Apri de, Re		
))))))))))))))))))) YES	NO	N/A
	a.) Form VI Pest-5/Pesticide Resolution Check Mix	<u>[]</u>		
	b.) Form VI Pest-6/Performance Evaluation Mixture	[]		
	c.) Form VI Pest-7/Individual Standard Mixture A	[]		
	d.) Form VI Pest-8/Individual Standard Mixture B	[_]		
	e.) Form VI Pest-9/Individual Standard Mixture C	<u>[]</u>		
	f.) Form VI Pest-10/Individual Standard Mixture C	<u>[]</u>		
	g.) Form VII Pest-1/Calibration Verification	[]		
	h.) Were the appropriate GC columns used as specified on page D-11/Pest, sections 6.26.1.3 to 6.26.1.3.2 in SOM01.1?	[]		
7.2	The identification of a single component pesticide by GC method is based primarily on RT data. Were the following requirements met:			
	a.) The chromatogram that results for PEM and Individual Standards Mixture analyses must display the analytes at> 10% full scale but < 100% full scale			
	b.) The baseline of the chromatogram must return to below 50% of full scale before the elution of alpha-BHC, and return to below 25% of full scale after the elution time of alpha-BHC and before the elution time of decachlorobiphenyl			
NOTE:	If a chromatogram is replotted electronically to meet these requirements, the scaling factor used must be displayed on chromatogram, and if standard, blank, etc chromatogram need replotted electronically to meet these requirements, both to chromatogram and the replotted chromatogram(s) must be submitted data package.	the s to be he init:		
ACTION:	If all single component pesticides (SCP) are not clearly chromatograms for all Individual Standard Mixtures and PITOPO to obtain resubmittal of the necessary data.			
7.3	Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and the Forms?	<u>[]</u>		_
ACTION:	If large errors exist, take action specified in section 3	3.1 abov	e.	

12

7.4 Resolution Check Mixture (Form VI Pest-5)

Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide SOP HW-36/Pesticid			
S)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) YES	NO	N/A
This mixture is analyzed at the beginning of every initial calibration sequence. Were the following met:	[]		
a.) If two Individual Standard Mixture (A and B) are used, the resolution is \geq 60% in both GC columns or			
b.) One Individual Standard Mixture C is used, the resolution between two adjacent peaks is \geq 80% on the primary column and \geq 50% on the secondary column.			
ACTION: If no, follow the action in Action Table below.			
7.5 Performance Evaluation Mixture (Form VI Pest-6)			
This mixture is analyzed at the beginning (following the Resolution Check Mixture) and at the end of the initial calibration sequence. Were the following met?	[]		
a.) The resolution between any two adjacent peaks in the initial and continuing calibration verification must be \geq 90% on each column.			
b.) The % breakdown of 4,4'-DDT and Endrin in the PEMs must be \leq 20.0% on each column and the combined % breakdown for 4,4'-DDT and Endrin in the PEMs must be \leq 30.0% on each column.			
ACTION: IF no, take action as specified in Action Table below.			
7.6 Mid-Point Individual Standard Mixture (A and B) or (C)			
The resolution capabilities of the GC/ECD system used will dictate which Individual Standard Mixture can be used. This is determined by analysis of the Resolution Check Mixture (RCM) to see if the RCM criteria were met (see section 7.4 above). Were the following criteria met?	<u>[]</u>		
a.) Mid-Point Individual Standard Mixture A and B: See section 7.4 a.) Above			
<pre>b.) Mid-Point Individual Standard Mixture C: See section 7.4 b.) Above</pre>			
ACTION: If no, take action as specified in the following Table.			

Table: Gas Chromatography with Electron Capture Detector (GC/ECD) Instrument Performance Check Action

USEPA Region II Date: April 2006
Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide SOP HW-36/Pesticide, Revision 0

S(t) = S(t) =

YES NO N/A

Criteria [(Individual Standa Mixture (A and B		Action		
Resolution Check Mixture % Resolution <60.09	Resolution Check Mixture % Resolution <80.0% (primary column) % Resolution <50.0% (secondary column)	Detects: JN Non-detects: R		
PEM % Resolution <90	ጋዩ	Detects: JN Non-detects: R		
PEM: 4,4'-DDT % Brea	down >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected	Detects for 4,4'-DDT: J Detects for 4,4'-DDD: J Detects for 4,4'-DDE: J		
PEM: 4,4'-DDT % Breaddetected	down >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not	Non-detects for 4,4'-DDT: R Detects for 4,4'-DDD: JN Detects for 4,4'-DDE: JN		
PEM: Endrin % Breal	down >20.0% and Endrin is detected	Detects for Endrin: J Detects for Endrin aldehyde: J Detects for Endrin ketone: J		
PEM: Endrin % Breal detected	down >20.0% and Endrin is not	Detects for Endrin: R Detects for Endrin aldehyde: JN Detects for Endrin ketone: JN		
PEM: Combined % Brea	down > 30.0%	Apply qualifiers as described above considering degree of individual breakdown		
Mid-point Individual Standard Mixtures (A and B) % Resolution <90.0%	Detects: JN Non-detects: R			
PEM analysis not per	ormed at the required frequency *	All results: R		
Mid-point Individual performed at the req	Standard Mixtures analysis not ired frequency **	All results: R		

^{*} The PEM is analyzed at the beginning (following the Resolution Check Mixture) and at the end of the initial calibration.

Mid-point Individual Standard Mixture C: Analyzed as part of the initial calibration. The mid-point INDC must bracket one end of each 12-hour analytical period.

^{**} Mid-point Individual Standard Mixture A and B: Analyzed as part of the initial calibration. The mid-point INDA and INDB must bracket one end of each 12-hour analytical period.

USEPA	Regio	n II											Apri		
Metho	d: CLE	P/SOW,	SOM01.	1/Pest	cicid	.e		SOP	HW-3	36/P	estic	ide	, Rev	risio	n O
S)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) YES	NO	N/A
	7.7	Initial	Calibra	ation (Form V	VI Pe	st-2,	Form V	VI Pes	st-3,	Form	VI	Pest-3)	
		Were th	e Initia	al Cali	bratio	on %R	SD cri	teria	met?				[_]		
	ACTION:	If no	o, quali	fy the	data	accor	ding	to the	foll	owing	g tabl	.e:			

Initial Calibration Action for Pesticide analyses

	Action				
Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds			
Initial calibration is not performed or not performed in proper sequence	Use Professional Judgment and notify Contract Lab Program (CLP) Project Officer				
%RSD exceeds allowable limits *	J No qualificat				
%RSD within allowable limits *	No qualification				

^{* %}RSD < 20.0% for single component target compound except alpha-BHC and delta-BHC.

7.8 Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) (Form VII)

Were the Absolute Retention Time (RT) for each Single Component Pesticide (SCP) and surrogate in the PEM and mid-point concentration of Individual Standard Mixtures (A and B) or (C) within the RT window determined from the initial calibration?

ACTION:	Ιf	no,	use	the	following	table	to	qualify	pesticide	analytes:	

[]

Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) Action for Pesticides Analyses

	Action				
Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds			
RT out of RT Window	Use professional Judgment *				
Percent Difference not within limits **	J	ŪJ			
Time elapsed is greater than acceptable limits ***	1	₹			

[%]RSD < 25.0% for alpha-BHC and delta-BHC.

[%]RSD < 30.0% for Toxaphene.

[%]RSD < 30.0 for surrogates (tetrachloro-m-xylene and decachlorobiphenyl).

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

<pre>USEPA Region II Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide S)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))</pre>	Date: April 2006 SOP HW-36/Pesticide, Revision 0)))))))))))))))))))))))))))
Percent Difference, time elapsed and RT are within acceptable limits	No qualification
three or more standards containing the pest analytical sequence during which the sample are present, the RT window can be re-evalua-	sample peaks represent the compound of kamine the data package for the presence of ticide of interest that were run within the was analyzed. If three or more standards ated using the mean RT of the standards. If qualify detects as "JN". Peaks that cannot be
must be greater than or equal to -25.0% and between the Calibration Factor (CF) for eaction Calibration Verification Standard (CS3) and	ach of the SCP and surrogates in the nd the mean calibration factor from the or equal to
mid-point concentration of the Individual an analytical sequence (closing CCV). No injection of the instrument blank that be the injection of the last sample or blank	CV) and the injection of either the PEM or I Standard Mixtures (A and B) or (C) that ends o more than 12 hours may elapse from the egins an analytical sequence (opening CCV) and that is part of the same analytical ose from the injection of the sample with a
8.0 Analytical Sequence Check (Form VIII-Pest	<u>=)</u>
8.1 Is Form VIII-Pest present and cocolumn and each period of analyses	-
ACTION: If no, take action as specifi	ed in section 3.1
8.2 Was the proper analytical sequential calibration and subsequential standards analyzed at the requirement used?	ent analyses, and all
ACTION: If no, use professional judgm severity of the effect on the accordingly. Generally, the e unless the sequence was gross the calibration was out of QC	e data and qualify effect is negligible ely altered and/or
8.3 Are the surrogate retention time	

	A Regio	Date: P/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide SOP HW-36/Pesticide	Aprı Rev.,		
S))))))))))))))))) YES	NO	N/A
	ACTION	: If no, take action as specified in section 3.1			
	8.4	Was the asterisk (*) applied to the RT of any blanks, samples, standards, MS/MSD, and LCS that did not meet the QC Limits of \pm 0.05 minutes for TCX (tetrachloro-m-xylene) and \pm 0.10 minutes for DCB (decachlorobiphenyl)?	[]		
	ACTION	: If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.1 above.			
		If no, use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect on the data and qualify accordingly. Document in the data assessment under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance.			
9.0 <u>F</u>		Cartridge (Form IX Pest-1)and Gel Permeation Chromatography (Form IX Pest-2) Performance Check			
	9.1	Is Form IX Pest-1 present and complete for each lot of cartridge used?	[]		
	Note: I	Florisil cartridge cleanup is <u>mandatory</u> for <u>all</u> extracts			
		Are all samples listed on the Pesticide Cartridge Form?	<u>[]</u>		
	ACTION	: If no, take action specified in section 3.1			
	9.2	Are the percent recoveries of the target pesticides and surrogates in the Florisil performance check within 80-120% and the recovery of 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol is less than 5%?	<u>[]</u>		
		If the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check criteria were not met, qualify the data as follows:			
		Dissipil Contriles Desferment Charle Actions			

Florisil Cartridge Performance Check Actions

	ACT	ION
Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds
%R > 120% (pesticide target compounds)	J	No qualification
80% <u><</u> %R <u><</u> 120%	No qualif	ication

USEPA Region II	Date: April 2006
Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticid	e SOP HW-36/Pesticide, Revision 0
$(S_1, (S_1, (S_1$	
	YES NO N/A

10% < %R < 80% (pesticide target compounds)	J	IJ
%R < 10% (pesticide target compounds)	J	R
%R > 5% (2,4,5-Trichlorophenol)	Use professional judgment *	

^{*} Check sample chromatogram for interferences

9.3	If GPC cleanup was performed on aqueous samples (<u>mandatary</u> for all <u>soil</u> samples), is Form IX Pest-2 present?	[]	
	Are all soil samples listed on Form IX Pest-2?	<u>[]</u>	
ACTION	: If no, take action as specified in section 3.1.		
9.4	Were the percent recoveries of the pesticides in the GPC continuing calibration verification solution within 80 to		
	110%?		

ACTION: If no, qualify the sample data as follows:

Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC) Performance Check Actions

	Action		
Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds	
%R < 10% (pesticide target compounds)	J	R	
10% <u><</u> %R < 80%	J	IJ	
80% <u><</u> %R <u><</u> 110%	No qualification		
%R > 110% (pesticide target compounds)	J	No qualification	

10.0 Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

10.1 LCSs orovide information on the accurracy of the analytical method and laboratory performance.

LCS Spike Compound	Recovery Limits (%)	LCS Spike Compound	Recovery Limits (%)
gamma-BHC	50 - 120	Endosulfuran sulfate	50 - 120
Heptachlor epoxide	50 - 150	gamma-Chlordane	30 - 130
Dieldrin	30 - 130	Tetra-m-xylene (surrogate)	30 - 150

	: CLP	/SOW, SOM01.1/			SOP HW-36	/Pesticide,		
4,4	'-DDE		50 - 3	150	Decachlorobiphenyl	(surroagte)	30 - 150)
End	lrin		50 - 3	120				
10).2	Were the above re	ecoverie	s met?		1	<u> </u>	
Ac	ction:	If no, qualify	the sam	ple da	ta as follows:			
		<u>Laborato</u>	ry Contr	ol Sam	ple (LCS) Actions			
					Act	ion:		
		Criteria		Asso	Detected ciated Compounds	Non-Det Assoicated		
%R > Up	per A	cceptance Limit			J	No qualifi	cation	
%R < Lo	ower a	cceptance Limit			J	R		
Lower A		ance Limit <u><</u> %R <u><</u> imit	<u>Upper</u>	No qualification				
11	1.1	which pesticide v	l & Pest [.] was dete	-2) co cted?	nplete for every sa	mple in <u>[</u>	_1	
11		etc. as required	for prop	per id	roperly scaled, att entification of pes 3.9 -11.3.9.7, page	ticides?	<u> </u>	
Nc		presentation of t 100% and Toxapher or blank, the bas of full scale be	the raw one between the core the after the	data. en 25- f the elution	cides depends on cl Pesticide peaks mu 100% of full scale. chromatogram must r on time of alpha-BH ution time of alpha	st be between For any sam eturn below 5 C and return	ple 0% to	
AC	CTION:				ak apex cannot be ve atograms from the l		act	
11		Are there any tra and Form X Pest-1			lculation errors in -2?	Form I	[]	

ACTION: Take action as specified in section 3.1 above.

USEPA Re	gion II D	ate: Apr	il 20	06
Method:	CLP/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide SOP HW-36/Pesti	cide, Re	visio	n 0
S))))))))))))))))) YES	NO	N/A
11.4	Are the RTs of pesticides within the established RT wind for analyses on both columns?	wc		
	Was the GC/MS confirmation provided for pesticides concentration > 10 ug/ml in final extract?	<u>[]</u>		
ACT	ION: Use professional judgement to qualify positive results which were not confirmed by GC/MS analysis. Check the semivolatile TIC data for presence of pesticides.			
11.	Is the per cent difference (%D) calculated for positive results on both columns < 25%?			
ACT	ION: The reviewer must check columns for peak interferences for the positive hits. Qualify the pesticide according to following Table:			

Action on Qualifying Positive Pesticide Results

Percent Differences	Qualifier
0 - 25%	None
26 - 70%	"Ј"
71 - 100%	"JN"
101 - 200% (No Peak Interferences)	"R"
101 - 200% (Interferences detected)*	"JN"
> 50% (Pesticide value < CRQL)**	"U"
> 200%	"R"

^{*} When interferences is detected on either column, qualify the data as "JN" $\,$

12.0 Target Pesticide List (TCL)

12.1 Are the Pesticide Analysis Data Sheets (Form I Pest) present with required header information on each page for samples, MS/MSD (if required), method and instrument blanks (per column & analysis)?

^{**} When the pesticide value is below CRQL and %D > 50%, raise the value to CRQL and qualify "U", undetected.

Meth		P/SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide SOP HW-36/Pesticid	=		
S)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) YES	NO	N/A
12.2		chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to ne stability, full-scale attenuation, peak shape/resolution?	[]		
	ACTION	: If no, take action specified in section 3.1 above.			
13.0	Compound	Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
	13.1	Are there any transcription/calculation errors in the Form I results? Check at least two positive results. Were any errors found?	[]		
	ACTION	If errors were found, take action as specified in section 3.1 above.			
	13.2	Are the contract required quantitation limits (CRQL) adjusted to reflect sample dilution?	<u>[]</u>		
	ACTION	If errors exist, take action as specified in section 3.1 a	above.		
	ACTION	When a sample is required to be diluted, the lowest CRQL (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQ the diluted sample). Replace concentration which exceed to calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out "E" value on the original Form I and substituting it with result from the diluted sample. Specify which Form I to Use a red pencil and draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including those a data summary package.	L from the the the use.		
		At the top or bottom of the Forms, write with red pencil, Not Use".	"DO		
	Note:	If the sample dilution factor (DF) is greater than 10, an additional 10 times more <u>concentrated</u> than the diluted sample extract must be analyzed and reported with the sample data. If the DF is less or equal to 10, but greater than 1, the results of the original undiluted analysis must also be reported (see SOM01.1/section 10.4.3.5/page D-56).			
	ACTION	IF the above requirement was not met, contact the TOPO to explanation/resubmittal from the lab and make a note in the Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance section	he Data		
	13.3	For non-aqueous samples, were the percent moisture < 70%?	<u>[]</u>		
		Action: If the % moisture \geq 70.0% and < 90.0%, qualify detect as "J" and non-detects as approximated "UJ" If the 9 Moisture \geq 90%, qualify detects as "J" and non-detected	%	"R"	

USEP.	A Region	. II	I	Date: April :	2006
Meth	od: CLP/	SOW, SOM01.1/Pesticide	SOP HW-36/Pest:	icide, Revis	ion 0
S))))))))))))))))))))))) YES NC	N/A
14.0	Field Du	plicates			
		ere any field duplicates submittenalysis?	ed for Pesticide	<u> </u>	
	ACTION:	Compare the reported results for duplicates and calculate the redifference.			
	ACTION:	Any gross variation between dup must be addressed in the review If large differences exist, con to confirm identification of fi with the sampler.	ver narrative. ntact the TOPO		

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE .

Definitions

CCS - contract compliance screening CF - Calibration Factor CLASS - Contract Laboratory Analytical Services Support CLP - Contract Laboratory Program CRQL - Contract Required Quantitation Limit GC/ECD - Gas Chromatography/Electron Capture Detector kg - kilogram uq - microgram ℓ - liter mℓ - milliliter PEM - Performance Evaluation Mixture OC - quality control RAS - Routine Analytical Services RPD - Relative Percent Difference RRF - Relative Response Factor RRF - Average Relative Response Factor (from initial calibration) RRT - Relative Retention Time RSD - Relative Standard Deviation RT - Retention Time RSCC - Regional Sample Control Center SCP - Single Component Pesticide SDG - Sample Delivery Group SOP - standard operating procedure SOW - Statement of Work PEST - Pesticides TCL - Target Compound List TCLP - Toxicity Characteristics Leachate Procedure TIC - Tentatively Identified Compound TPO - Technical Project Officer VTSR - Validated Time of Sample Receipt TOPO - Task Order Project Officer

USEPA Region II															Da	te:	Apri:	L 20	06
Method: CLP/SOW, SOM01	.1/	Pe:	sti	cid	e				S	ЭP	H	v –	36	/Pe	stic	ide	, Rev	isio	n 0
S))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))])))))))))))))))))				
									•	•						7	YES	NO	N/A

References

- 1. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program of Work for Organic Analysis Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOW/CLP/SOM01.1, October 2004
- 2. National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review January 2005